

# Photoproduction prospects at a fixed target experiment at the LHC (AFTER@LHC)

Laure Massacrier,

Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay

CNRS/IN2P3



Current members for the study group of the AFTER@LHC project

[http://after.in2p3.fr/after/index.php/Current\\_author\\_list](http://after.in2p3.fr/after/index.php/Current_author_list)

M. Anselmino (Torino), R. Arnaldi (Torino), S. J. Brodsky (SLAC), V. Chambert (IPN), C. Da Silva (Los Alamos), J.P. Didelez (IPN), M. G. Echevarria (Barcelona), E. G. Ferreira (USC), F. Fleuret (LLR), Y. Gao (Tsinghua), B. Genolini (IPN), C. Hadjidakis (IPN), I. Hrivnacova (IPN), D. Kikola (Warsaw University), A. Klein (Los Alamos), U. Kramer (Karlsruhe), A. Kurepin (Moscow), A. Kusina (LPSC), J. P. Lansberg (IPN), L. Massacrier (IPN), I. Lehmann (Darmstadt), C. Lorcé (IPN), R. Mikkelsen (Aarhus), A. Nass (Julich), C. Pisano (Pavia), C. Quintans (Lisbon), F. Rathmann (Julich), I. Schienbein (LPSC), M. Schlegel (Tubingen), E. Scapparini (Torino), J. Seixas (Lisbon), H. S. Shao (CERN), A. Signori (Nikhef), E. Steffens (Erlangen), N. Topilskaya (Moscow), B. Trzeciak (CTU), U. I. Uggerhøj (Aarhus), R. Ulrich (Karlsruhe), A. Uras (IPNL), Z. Yang (Tsinghua)



PHOTON 2017, CERN  
22-26th May 2017



# OUTLINE

- ❑ What is AFTER@LHC ?
- ❑ Main kinematical features
- ❑ General Physics Motivations
- ❑ Possible technical implementations at the LHC and projected luminosities
- ❑ Prospects for photoproduction studies with AFTER@LHC
- ❑ A selection of projected performances


# WHAT IS AFTER@LHC ?

AFTER@LHC is a proposal for a multi-purpose fixed target experiment using the multi-TeV proton or heavy ion beams of the LHC, with 3 main physic objectives:


- ❑ Advance our understanding of the **large-x gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon and nucleus**
- ❑ Advance our understanding of the **dynamics and spin of gluons inside (un)polarised nucleons**
- ❑ Study **heavy-ion collisions** between SPS and RHIC energies towards large rapidities

## Several advantages of the fixed-target mode wrt to the collider mode:

- Accessing the **high Feynman  $x_F$**  domain ( $x_F = p_z/p_{zmax}$ )
- Achieving **high luminosities** thanks to dense targets
- Easier to **change the target** type ( $\neq$  atomic mass)
- Possibility to **polarize the target**

 All this can be realised at CERN in a parasitic mode with the most energetic beam ever (without affecting LHC performances → recycling beam losses / internal gas target)

# MAIN KINEMATICAL FEATURES



- Entire CM forward hemisphere ( $y_{CM} > 0$ ) within  $0^\circ < \theta_{lab} < 1^\circ$  (high multiplicities  $\rightarrow$  large occupancies)
- Backward physics** ( $y_{CM} < 0$ ) : larger angle in the laboratory frame (lower occupancies)  
Access to parton with momentum fraction  $x_2 \rightarrow 1$  in the target


## Energy range

7 TeV proton beam on a fixed target

<b>c.m.s. energy:</b> $\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{2m_N E_p} \approx 115 \text{ GeV}$	<b>Rapidity shift:</b> $y_{c.m.s.} = 0 \rightarrow y_{lab} = 4.8$
<b>Boost:</b> $\gamma = \sqrt{s} / (2m_N) \approx 60$	

2.76 TeV Pb beam on a fixed target


<b>c.m.s. energy:</b> $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = \sqrt{2m_N E_{Pb}} \approx 72 \text{ GeV}$	<b>Rapidity shift:</b> $y_{c.m.s.} = 0 \rightarrow y_{lab} = 4.3$
<b>Boost:</b> $\gamma \approx 40$	





# MAIN KINEMATICAL FEATURES

- ☐ LHCb and ALICE muon arm become backward detectors in fixed target mode
- ☐ Half of the backward region covered for most of the probe  $\rightarrow -1 < x_F < 0$
- ☐ ALICE central barrel very backward detector



Example of acceptance for an LHCb-like detector :  $2 < \eta_{lab} < 5$

- (1) pA collisions in fixed target mode,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 115$  GeV  
 (2) PbA collisions in fixed target mode,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 72$  GeV


- (3) pp collisions in collider mode,  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV  
 (4) PbPb collisions in collider mode,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$  TeV  
 (5) pPb collisions in collider mode,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8$  TeV  
 (6) Pbp collisions in collider mode,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8$  TeV

# PHYSICS MOTIVATIONS: HIGH-x FRONTIER


□ Advance our understanding of the high-x gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon and nucleus

- Very large uncertainties for  $x \geq 0.5$   
[could be crucial to characterise possible BSM discoveries]
- Proton charm content important for high-energy neutrino and cosmic ray physics
- EMC effect is an open problem; studying a possible gluon EMC effect is essential
- Relevance of nuclear PDF to understand the initial state of heavy-ion collisions
- Search and study rare proton fluctuations  
where one gluon carries most of the proton momentum


Gluon-Gluon, luminosity



Uncertainty on the gluon-gluon luminosity at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV



Energy spectrum of neutrino flux



Gluon density in Pb nuclei

# PHYSICS MOTIVATIONS: 3D MAPPING OF THE PARTON MOMENTUM

- Advance our understanding of the dynamics and spin of quarks and gluons inside polarised and unpolarised nucleons

- Possible missing contribution to the proton spin: Orbital Angular Momentum (OAM)

➡ For longitudinally polarised nucleon, with helicity +1/2:  $\ell_{g,q}$


$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma + \Delta G + \underbrace{\ell_g + \ell_q}$$

Spin of quarks /antiquarks


Spin of gluons

Orbital angular momentum of quarks and gluons

- First hint by COMPASS that  $\ell_g \neq 0$
- Access information on the orbital motion of the partons inside bound hadrons via Single Spin Asymmetries (Sivers effect)
- Test TMD factorization formalism  
→ sign change of  $A_N$  between SIDIS and DY
- Determination of linearly polarised gluons in unpolarised protons (Boer Mulders effect)




■ Gluon Spin   ■ Gluon angular momentum  
■ Quark Spin   ■ Quark Angular Momentum



# PHYSICS MOTIVATIONS: HEAVY ION COLLISIONS TOWARD LARGE RAPIDITIES


- QGP studies between SPS and RHIC energies (with eg. quarkonia)

Satz, J. Phys. G32 (2006) R25




AFTER in PbA  
 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 72 \text{ GeV}$

Mocsy et al, Int. J. Mod.  
 Phys. A28 (2013) 1340012



Dissociation temperature  
 from lattice QCD (+hydro)



Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 222301

- A complete set of heavy-flavour studies between SPS and RHIC energies




Calibration of the quarkonium thermometer

- At AFTER@LHC energy,  $\Upsilon(3S)$  and  $\Upsilon(2S)$  are expected to melt
- Enough statistics to perform the same study as CMS at low energy

# PHYSICS MOTIVATIONS: HEAVY ION COLLISIONS TOWARD LARGE RAPIDITIES

- ❑ Test the formation of azimuthal asymmetries: hydrodynamics vs initial-state radiation
- ❑ Explore the longitudinal expansion of QGP formation

→ Particle yields and  $v_N$  measured at large rapidities powerful tool to measure the medium **shear viscosity and temperature**



- ❑ Test the factorization of Cold Nuclear Matter effects

- Use probe insensitive to Quark Gluon Plasma formation: **Drell Yan**
- Measure Drell Yan in pA and pB to predict A+B and compare with measurement
- Cannot be done at an EIC

# POSSIBLE TECHNICAL IMPLEMENTATIONS AT THE LHC

- ❑ Two main possibilities:
  - **Internal Target + already existing detector**
  - **New beam line + new detector**

- ❑ Various possible implementations:


- Internal **Gas** target:
  - Can be installed in already existing LHC cavern coupled to existing experiment
  - Currently validated by the LHCb collaboration with the SMOG system
  - Caveat: AFTER is not necessarily SMOG! (higher pressure, polarisation...)  
→ Several possibilities: gas jet, storage cell gas target
  - Benefit from the full p and Pb fluxes:  $3.4 \times 10^{18}$  p/s,  $3.6 \times 10^{14}$  Pb/s
- Internal **Wire/Foil** target (in the beam halo):
  - Used by Hera-B on the 920 GeV p beam and by STAR at RHIC
- **Beam line** extracted via **bent crystal**:
  - Most ambitious solution (civil engineering, new beam line, new experiment)
  - LHC beam halo is recycled:
    - Proton flux:  $5 \times 10^8$  p/s, Lead flux:  $2 \times 10^5$  Pb/s
- **Beam splitted** via **bent crystal** (in fact another « internal target » solution):
  - Intermediate option which reduces civil engineering (re-use existing detector)
  - Particles deflected onto a solid target
  - Need to absorb the secondary beam

→ Similar luminosities can be reached with an internal gas target or a crystal based solution

# INTERNAL GAS TARGET (SMOG LHCB)

- ❑ Currently validated by the LHCb Collaboration with the SMOG system
- ❑ Low density noble gas injected (He, Ne, Ar so far) into LHCb Vertex Locator
- ❑ Benefit from the full LHCb beam without decrease of the beam lifetime:
  - Due to limited gas pressure ( $1.5 \times 10^{-7}$  mbar)
- ❑ Limited running time, no polarization of the target, only noble gases

SMOG: System for Measuring Overlap with Gas



Beam	Target Gas	Pressure [mbar]	Gas Length $\ell$ [cm]	$\theta_{\text{target}}$ [cm <sup>-2</sup> ]	$\mathcal{L}^*$ [cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\sigma_{\text{MB}}^{\text{Beam-Target}}$ (barn)	beam fraction used over a fill
$p$	{He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe}	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	40	$1.6 \cdot 10^{11}$	$5.8 \cdot 10^{29}$	{0.15, 0.4, 0.6, 1.0, 1.3}	$\ll \%$
Pb	{He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe}	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	40	$1.6 \cdot 10^{11}$	$7.4 \cdot 10^{25}$	{2.5, 3.7, 4.5, 5.5, 6.2}	$\ll \%$

\* Assuming all the bunches are non colliding (maxima) [nb = 2808 (p), 592 (Pb)]  
 Current SMOG ~ 10% of the total number of bunches are non colliding  
 Gas length can be up to 80cm

Typical integrated luminosity:

- p-Ar collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 115$  GeV, ~ 17h of data taking, -20 cm < Z < 20 cm
- $L_{\text{int}} \sim 3.75 \text{ nb}^{-1}$



# INTERNAL GAS TARGET (GAS JET OPTION)

## ❑ Polarised H-jet polarimeter (used at RHIC)

- Used to measure the proton beam polarisation at RHIC
- 9 vacuum chambers → 9 stages of differential pumping
- Gas target: polarised free atomic beam source (ABS) cooled down to 80K crossing the RHIC beam

## ❑ Target Areal density

- Polarised inlet Hydrogen flux:  $1.3 \times 10^{17}$  H/s
- Higher flux can be obtained for  $^3\text{He}$
- $\theta_{\text{target}} = 1.2 \times 10^{12}$  atoms/cm<sup>2</sup>  
→  $\sim 7.5 \times \text{SMOG}$

## ❑ Instantaneous luminosity

- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{pH}} = 4.5 \times 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (full LHC flux)

## ❑ Integrated luminosity over one LHC year

- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} (\text{pH}) = 45 \text{ pb}^{-1}$





Fig. 1. H-jet polarimeter general layout.

Zelenski et al. NIM A 536 (2005) 248



# INTERNAL GAS TARGET (HERMES TARGET LIKE OPTION)


HERMES-target in the HERA tunnel.



Source: [http://www-hermes.desy.de/hedt/pictures/DESY\\_PR/](http://www-hermes.desy.de/hedt/pictures/DESY_PR/)

## INTERNAL GAS TARGET (HERMES TARGET LIKE OPTION)

- ❑ Openable storage cell:
  - Beam tube: 1000 mm length
  - 14 mm radius (50 mm open position)
- ❑ Benefit from **higher pressure** in the target cell
- ❑ Dedicated pumping system  
[turbo molecular pumps]
- ❑ Polarised H, D,  $^3\text{He}$  can be injected (P ~80%)
- ❑ Unpolarised heavy gas can also be injected

Adv. High Energy Phys. 2015 (2015)  
463141

E. Steffens, PoS (PSTP2015) 019

Beam	Target Gas	inlet flux [s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\theta_{\text{target}}$ [cm <sup>-2</sup> ]	$\mathcal{L}$ [cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\sigma_{\text{MB}}^{\text{Beam-Target}}$	beam fraction used over a fill
$p$	H <sup>†</sup>	$6.5 \cdot 10^{16}$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{14}$	$0.92 \cdot 10^{33}$	39 mb	0.4%
$p$	D <sup>†</sup>	$5.2 \cdot 10^{16}$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{14}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{33}$	71 mb	0.9 %
$p$	<sup>3</sup> He <sup>†</sup>	$1.0 \cdot 10^{16}$	$6.8 \cdot 10^{13}$	$0.25 \cdot 10^{33}$	96 mb	0.3 %
$p$	H <sub>2</sub>	$2.8 \cdot 10^{17}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{15}$	$5.8 \cdot 10^{33}$	39 mb	2.5%
$p$	{Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe}	$1.3 \cdot 10^{15}$	$6.44 \cdot 10^{13}$	$2.34 \cdot 10^{32}$	{0.4,0.6,1.0,1.3} b	{1.0,1.6,2.6,3.4} %
Pb	H <sup>†</sup>	$6.5 \cdot 10^{16}$	$2.54 \cdot 10^{14}$	$1.18 \cdot 10^{29}$	1.8 b	9.3%
Pb	Xe	$1.3 \cdot 10^{15}$	$6.44 \cdot 10^{13}$	$3 \cdot 10^{28}$	6.2 b	8.1%

→ x 200 free gas-jet!

→ Gas pressure limited by beam lifetime


Typical integrated luminosity over a year:

- p-H collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 115 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow L_{\text{int}} \sim 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
- Pb-H collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 72 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow L_{\text{int}} \sim 100 \text{ nb}^{-1}$




# BEAM EXTRACTION OR BEAM SPLITTING USING BENT CRYSTALS

## Beam splitting



S. Redaelli, Physics Beyond Colliders, CERN, 06/09/2016


## Beam extraction



- ☐ Bent crystals studied for collimation purposes: deflect the beam halo to reduce beam losses
- ☐ Beam extraction solution: civil engineering required, new facility with 7 TeV proton beam
- ☐ Beam splitting: intermediate option
  - Less civil engineering
  - Similar fluxes as for beam extraction
  - Compatible with an existing experiment

# BEAM SPLITTING USING BENT CRYSTALS

- ❑ First setup proposed by W. Scandale et al. to **measure the magnetic moment of  $\Lambda_c$  and other charm charged baryons at LHC energies**
- ❑ First crystal, located at  $5\sigma$  from the beam line, upstream of LHCb  $\rightarrow$  deflection of  $150\ \mu\text{rad}$
- ❑ Target in the pipe to intercept the deflected beam
- ❑ Second crystal channels part of the baryons in the LHCb detector to measure spin orientation
- ❑ Additional absorber intercepts the halo particles non interacting with the target
- ❑ **Parasitic operation allowed according to loss map simulations**



**First setup  
compatible  
with the  
LHCb detector**

# BEAM EXTRACTION OR BEAM SPLITTING USING BENT CRYSTALS

- ❑ Bent crystals can be used to deflect the beam on either an unpolarised or polarised solid target (E1039 or COMPASS like targets)
- ❑ Considerations for 5mm-thick targets compatible both with beam splitting or beam extraction options

LHC beam	Target species	Density $\rho$ [g cm <sup>-3</sup> ]	M [g mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	Thickness [mm]	$\theta_{\text{Target}}$ [cm <sup>-2</sup> ]	beam flux [s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\mathcal{L}$ [cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]
$p$	Solid H	0.088	1	5	$2.6 \cdot 10^{22}$	$5 \times 10^8$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{31}$
$p$	C	2.25	12	5	$5.6 \cdot 10^{22}$	$5 \times 10^8$	$2.8 \cdot 10^{31}$
$p$	Ti	4.43	48	5	$2.8 \cdot 10^{22}$	$5 \times 10^8$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{31}$
$p$	W	19.25	184	5	$3.1 \cdot 10^{22}$	$5 \times 10^8$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{31}$
Pb	Solid H	0.088	1	5	$2.6 \cdot 10^{22}$	$10^5$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{27}$
Pb	C	2.25	12	5	$5.6 \cdot 10^{22}$	$10^5$	$5.6 \cdot 10^{27}$
Pb	Ti	4.43	48	5	$2.8 \cdot 10^{22}$	$10^5$	$2.8 \cdot 10^{27}$
Pb	W	19.25	184	5	$3.1 \cdot 10^{22}$	$10^5$	$3.1 \cdot 10^{27}$

Typical integrated luminosity over a year:

- p-H collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 115 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow L_{\text{int}} \sim 0.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  ( $\div 100$  internal gas target)
- Pb-H collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 72 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow L_{\text{int}} \sim 3 \text{ nb}^{-1}$  ( $\div 30$  internal gas target)

- ❑ Similar luminosities as the internal gas target case at reach by increasing the target length
- ❑ Note that the beam splitting option combined with an internal target is similar as putting a wire in the beam halo (but with a better control on the flux sent to the wire, ie better for luminosity determination). Beam splitting option can be use parasitically to collider events while it is not clear for wire/foil put in the halo

# PROSPECTS FOR PHOTOPRODUCTION STUDIES WITH AFTER@LHC

□  $\gamma_{lab}^{p beam} \sim 7450$  ( $E_p = 7000$  GeV)

J.P. Lansberg, L. Massacrier, L. Szymanowski, J. Wagner

□  $\gamma_{lab}^{Pb beam} \sim 2940$  ( $E_p = 2760$  GeV)


□  $E_\gamma^{max} \sim \gamma_{lab}^{beam} \times 30$  MeV

□  $W_{\gamma p} \sim [5, 100]$  for  $y_{lab} \sim [0, 9]$

□ Single Transverse Spin Asymmetry measurement

$A_N^{\gamma p^\uparrow \rightarrow J/\psi p}$  → access to the GPD  $E_g$  and the gluon Orbital Angular Momentum

□ Access to pentaquark at very backward rapidity?



## Simulations with STARLIGHT generator

Phys. Rev. C60 (1999) 014903, arXiv:hep-ph/9902259 [hep-ph]


1. Proton-Hydrogen collisions in fixed target mode ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 115$  GeV) for AFTER@LHC;
2. Lead-Hydrogen collisions in fixed target mode ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 72$  GeV) for AFTER@LHC;

	Case 1	Case 2
Photon-emitter	proton	Lead
$\sigma_{J/\psi}^{tot}$ (pb)	$1.18 \times 10^3$	$276.77 \times 10^3$
$\sigma_{J/\psi \rightarrow l^+ l^-}$ (pb)	70.10	$16.50 \times 10^3$

Sum of 2 contributions, both protons are photon emitters

# A SELECTION OF PROJECTED PERFORMANCES

- ❑ With a HERMES-type polarized target (Pol ~ 60%) coupled to a LHCb like detector
- ❑ LHCb acceptance:  $2 < \eta_\mu < 5$
- ❑  $p_T^\mu > 0.4 \text{ GeV}/c$
- ❑ Integrated luminosity over a year:
  - p-H collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 115 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow L_{\text{int}} = 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
  - Pb-H collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 72 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow L_{\text{int}} = 100 \text{ nb}^{-1}$




HERMES-type  
polarized target

+

LHCb – like acceptance  
and performance

# A SELECTION OF PROJECTED PERFORMANCES

□  $J/\psi$  photoproduction in  $p\text{-H}\uparrow$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 115$  GeV (dimuon channel)



$$\sigma_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-} (2 < \eta^{\mu} < 5, p_T^{\mu} > 0.4 \text{ GeV/c}) = 20.64 \text{ pb}$$


→ 200000 photoproduced  $J/\psi$  emitted in the LHCb acceptance (each p can emit the photon)

→ ~ x20 number of photoproduced  $J/\psi$  to be recorded at RHIC for 2017 Run in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 500$  GeV ( $L_{\text{int}} \sim 400 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ ) arXiv:1602.03922 [nucl-ex]



# PHOTOPRODUCTION PROSPECTS

□  $J/\psi$  photoproduction in Pb-H collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 72$  GeV (dimuon channel)





$$\sigma_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-} (2 < \eta^{\mu} < 5, p_T^{\mu} > 0.4 \text{ GeV/c}) = 9.81 \times 10^3 \text{ pb}$$

→ 1000 photoproduced  $J/\psi$  emitted in the LHCb acceptance (Pb photon emitter)

→  $\sim \div 13$  number of photoproduced  $J/\psi$  to be recorded at RHIC for 2023 Run in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$  ( $L_{int} \sim 1.75 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ ) arXiv:1602.03922 [nucl-ex]

# FIRST LOOK AT PROJECTED PERFORMANCES FOR ALICE

- ❑ With a **Bent crystal** coupled to an **internal wire** located at 135mm upstream of the ALICE interaction point (A side)
- ❑ ALICE TPC acceptance with a fixed target located at 135mm (A side):
  - $-0.79 < \eta_e < 0.86^*$
  - \* basic geometrical consideration  
forward direction define with positive pseudo-rapidity (opposite ALICE convention)
- ❑  $p_T^e > 0.4 \text{ GeV}/c$
- ❑ Upgraded ITS and TPC (maximum rate of 1MHz for pp collisions assumed)
- ❑ Integrated luminosity over a year:
  - p-H collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 115 \text{ GeV}$
  - $\rightarrow L_{\text{int}} = 0.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  (limited by target length)



# FIRST LOOK AT PROJECTED PERFORMANCES FOR ALICE

□ J/ψ photoproduction in p-H collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 115$  GeV (dielectron channel)





FIG. 3 (color online). The total cross section of  $\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi p$  in terms of the c.m. energy. The red dashed, blue dotted, green dot-dashed and black solid curves are the contributions from Pomeron,  $P_c$  with spin  $3/2$ ,  $P_c$  with spin  $5/2$  and the coherent sum of all. (a) shows  $(3/2^+, 5/2^-)$  combination. (b) shows  $(3/2^-, 5/2^+)$  combination. The coupling constants [Eq. (21)] between  $J/\psi p$  and the two  $P_c$  states are extracted by assuming  $J/\psi p$  saturates all their total widths. The experimental data are from Refs. [24–26].

Photoproduction of hidden charm pentaquark states  $P_c^+$  (4380) and  $P_c^+$  (4450), PHYSICAL REVIEW D 92, 034022 (2015)  
S/B ratios  $\sim 10^3 - 10^4$  depending on the state and coupling

- $\sim 0.001$  photoproduced J/ψ emitted per year in the ALICE TPC acceptance
- Could expect to produce  $\sim 1$ -10 pentaquark per year in the ALICE very backward acceptance

# CONCLUSIONS

- ❑ Three main physics motivations for a fixed target program at the LHC:



Without interfering with other experiments

- ❑ The high  $x$  frontier: new probes of the confinement and connections with astroparticles
- ❑ The nucleon spin and the transverse dynamics of the partons
- ❑ The approach to the deconfinement phase transition:



New energy, new rapidity domain and new probes

- ❑ Two ways towards fixed target collisions with the LHC beams:

- ❑ An internal **gas target** inspired from SMOG@LHCb/HERMES/H-jet@RHIC,...
- ❑ A slow extraction with a **bent crystal**

- ❑ AFTER@LHC can access GPD  $E_g$  and gluon OAM via the  $A_N$  measurement of photoproduced  $J/\psi$  using a polarised H target

- $J/\psi$  photoproduction in p-H $\uparrow$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 115$  GeV (dimuon channel)
  - 200000 photoproduced  $J/\psi$  expected in the LHCb acceptance
- $J/\psi$  photoproduction in Pb-H $\uparrow$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 72$  GeV (dimuon channel)
  - 1000 photoproduced  $J/\psi$  expected in the LHCb acceptance
- Working on the projected statistical uncertainties on  $A_N$  measurement

- ❑ An expression of interest to be submitted to the LHCC is beeing written
- ❑ AFTER Webpage: <http://after.in2p3.fr>



**BACKUP**

## RELEVANT LHC PARAMETERS

	proton beam	lead beam
Number of bunches in the LHC	2808	592
Number of particles per bunch	$1.15 \times 10^{11}$	$7 \times 10^7$
LHC Revolution frequency [Hz]	11245	
Particle flux in the LHC [ $s^{-1}$ ]	$3.63 \times 10^{18}$	$4.66 \times 10^{14}$
LHC yearly running time [s]	$10^7$	$10^6$
Nominal energy of the beam [TeV]	7	2.76
Fill duration considered [h]	10	5
Usable particle flux in the halo (when relevant) [ $s^{-1}$ ]	$5 \times 10^8$	$10^5$

# INTERNAL GAS TARGET (SMOG LHcb)

- ❑ Successful pA and PbA data taking
- ❑ Heavy flavour signals from pNe data taking period at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 110$  GeV (~12h)





<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCb/LHCbPlots2015>

- ❑ Good resolution, high signal over background ratio

# DRELL-YAN SIMULATIONS



- Unique acceptance (with LHCb-like detector) compared to existing DY pA data (E866 & E772 @ Fermilab) used for nPDF fit. Same acceptance for pp collisions.
- Extremely large yields up to  $x_2 \rightarrow 1$  (pXe simulations with LHCb like detector)
- No existing measurements at RHIC
- Assume combinatorial background subtraction via Like Sign or Event Mixing techniques





# DRELL-YAN SIMULATIONS

- ❑ DY pair production on a transversely polarised target: aim of several experiment (COMPASS, E1039, STAR)
  - ❑ Check sign change in  $A_N$  DY vs SIDIS: hot topic in spin physics!
  - ❑ With a highly polarised gas target, one simply goes from an exploration phase to a consolidation phase
- 
- ❑ Novel constraints on the quark nPDF with DY in pA collisions
  - ❑ Statistical uncertainty smaller than nPDF uncertainties: discriminating power
  - ❑ With the muon spectrometer of ALICE and its absorber, opportunity to study DY in PbA collisions





# OPEN CHARM SIMULATIONS

❑ Extremely good prospects to measure **charm**:

- down to **zero**  $p_T$  → total x-section
- over a **wide rapidity coverage** →  $x_F \rightarrow -1$
- with extremely high statistical precision in **pp, pA and AA** collisions


❑ With a LHCb-like detector , the background is well under control

❑ Looking at  $D \rightarrow K\pi$  gives direct access to **charm-anticharm asymmetries**




# OPEN CHARM SIMULATIONS

- ❑ Huge data sample over wide kinematical coverage gives **unique handle on charm content in the proton at high x**
- ❑ Relevant for **cosmic neutrinos**: constrained by lack of inputs





- ❑  $D^0$  can also be collected with **a transversely polarised target** → never measured
- ❑ Both open and hidden charm → Gives access to tri-gluon correlation and the **gluon Sivers effects** → related to  $\ell_g$
- ❑ Statistical precision at **percent level**
- ❑ Interesting to measure charm and anticharm separately



- ❑ As for AA collisions, nuclear modification factors vs  $p_T$ ,  $y$ , centrality as well as azimuthal anisotropies ( $v_2$ ) can also be measured



# QUARKONIA SIMULATIONS

- ❑ Aim is to measure a **complete set of heavy-flavours** to use them as tools (TMD, PDF, nPDF, QGP effects)
- ❑ **Wide rapidity coverage**,  $p_T$  up to  $\sim 15$  GeV and down to  $0$  GeV
- ❑ Unique opportunity to access  $\chi_{c,b}$ ,  $\eta_c$  + associated production
- ❑ Full background simulations show very good prospects for all systems (worst scenario PbA shown below)
- ❑ In PbA collisions, one can repeat the  $Y(ns)$  CMS analysis in a new energy domain





# QUARKONIA SIMULATIONS

- ❑  $A_N$  for **all quarkonia** can be measured → so far on  $J/\psi$  by PHENIX with large uncertainties
- ❑ Completely new perspectives to study the **gluon Sivers effect**



- ❑ In pA collisions, constrain the **gluon antishadowing and EMC effects**
- ❑ pD collisions →  $g_n(x) = g_p(x)$ ?
- ❑ Access  $\eta_c$  production in pA collisions for the first time
- ❑ High statistics → **quarkonium polarisation** in pA/AA collisions



# SPIN OF GLUONS INSIDE POLARIZED NUCLEONS

## (Gluon) Sivers effects with a transversely polarized target

**Gluon Sivers effect: correlation between the gluon transverse momentum  $k_T$  and the proton spin**

- ❑ The target rapidity region ( $x_F < 0$ ) corresponds to high  $x^\uparrow$  ( $x_F \rightarrow -1$ ) where the  $k_T$  - spin correlation is the largest
- ❑ Transverse single spin asymmetries studied using **gluon sensitives probes**:
  - quarkonia ( $J/\psi$ ,  $\Upsilon$ ,  $\chi_c$ ) *F. Yuan, PRD 78 (2008) 014024; A. Schaefer, J. Zhou, PRD (2013)*
  - B & D mesons production
  - $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$ -jet,  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  also  $J/\psi$ - $\gamma$  *A. Bacchetta et al., PRL 99 (2007) 212002  
J. W. Qiu et al., PRL 107 (2011) 062001*
- ❑ High precision data and high luminosities needed to study Single Transverse Spin Asymmetries

# SPIN OF QUARKS INSIDE POLARIZED NUCLEONS

## (Quark) Sivers effects with a transversely polarized target


❑ Can be probed with the Drell-Yan process

Experiment	particles	energy (GeV)	$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	$x_p^\uparrow$	$\mathcal{L}$ (nb <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
AFTER	$p + p^\uparrow$	7000	115	0.01 ÷ 0.9	1
COMPASS	$\pi^\pm + p^\uparrow$	160	17.4	0.2 ÷ 0.3	2
COMPASS (low mass)	$\pi^\pm + p^\uparrow$	160	17.4	~ 0.05	2
RHIC	$p^\uparrow + p$	collider	500	0.05 ÷ 0.1	0.2
J-PARC	$p^\uparrow + p$	50	10	0.5 ÷ 0.9	1000
PANDA (low mass)	$\bar{p} + p^\uparrow$	15	5.5	0.2 ÷ 0.4	0.2
PAX	$p^\uparrow + \bar{p}$	collider	14	0.1 ÷ 0.9	0.002
NICA	$p^\uparrow + p$	collider	20	0.1 ÷ 0.8	0.001
RHIC	$p^\uparrow + p$	250	22	0.2 ÷ 0.5	2
Int.Target 1					
RHIC	$p^\uparrow + p$	250	22	0.2 ÷ 0.5	60
Int.Target 2					
P1027	$p^\uparrow + p$	120	15	0.35 ÷ 0.85	400-1000
P1039	$p + p^\uparrow$	120	15	0.1 ÷ 0.3	400-1000

Relevant parameters for the future proposed polarized DY experiments

S. J. Brodsky et al., Phys. Rep. 522 (2013) 239

V. Barone et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 65 (2010) 267



Prediction for AFTER

M. Anselmino, ECT\*, Feb. 2013  
(Courtesy U. D'Alesio)

**Asymmetry up to 10% predicted in DY for the target rapidity region ( $x_F < 0$ )**

# SPIN OF GLUONS INSIDE (UN)POLARIZED NUCLEONS

## Access to the distribution of linearly polarized gluons ( $h_1^{\perp g}$ )

« Boers-Mulder » effect: correlation between the parton  $\mathbf{k}_T$  and its spin  
For gluons, it is encoded in  $h_1^{\perp g}$




- Low- $p_T$  C-even quarkonium production is a good probe of the gluon TMDs.
- The low- $p_T$  spectra of scalar and pseudo-scalar quarkonium ( $\chi_{c0}$ ,  $\chi_{b0}$ ,  $\eta_c$ ,  $\eta_b$ ) are affected differently by the linearly polarized gluons in unpolarized nucleons

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma(\eta_Q)}{d\mathbf{q}_T^2} \propto 1 - R(\mathbf{q}_T^2) \quad \& \quad \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma(\chi_{0,Q})}{d\mathbf{q}_T^2} \propto 1 + R(\mathbf{q}_T^2)$$

$R$  involves  $h_1^{\perp g}$

- Boost: better access to low- $p_T$  C-even quarkonia
- Still challenging experimentally (first study of  $\eta_c$  in collider by LHCb for  $p_T > 6$  GeV/c) [arXiv:1409.3612](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.3612)
- If possible somewhere, it is at AFTER@LHC



Boer, Pisano, PRD 86 (2012) 094007

- Back-to-back  $J/\psi + \gamma$  is also a good probe of gluon TMDs

Den dunnen et al., PRL 112 (2014) 212001, J. P. Lansberg, Transversity 2014



# NUCLEON PARTONIC STRUCTURE: GLUONS IN THE PROTON

❑ Study gluon distributions at mid and high  $x_B$  in the proton

- Not easily accessible in DIS
- Translates into very large uncertainties

❑ Accessible via gluon sensitive probes:

- Quarkonia

*D. Diakonov et al., JHEP 1302 (2013) 069*

- Isolated photons



*D. d'Enterria, R. Rojo, Nucl. Phys. B860 (2012) 311*

- Jets ( $20 \leq p_T \leq 40$  GeV/c)

❑ Gluon distribution unknown for the neutron

Multiple probes needed to check factorisation

Large- $x$  gluons: important to characterise some possible BSM findings at the LHC



# HEAVY QUARK CONTENT OF THE PROTON

## Pin down intrinsic charm



□ Intrinsic charm is a rigorous property of QCD

□ Different charm pdfs (DGLAP or models with intrinsic charm) are in agreement with DIS data


□ Important for high energy neutrino and cosmic ray physics

□ Requirement

- Several complementary measurements
- Good coverage in the target-rapidity region
- High luminosity to reach large  $x_B$



*CTEQ6.5C with intrinsic charm*



*Pumplin et al. Phys.Rev. D75 (2007)*